UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,497	02/17/2000	DAVID J. FITZGERALD	015280-317100US	4036
JOHN STOREI	7590 08/14/200 LL A	EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND AN	NATARAJAN, MEERA		
8TH FLOOR	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834	1643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Арр	olication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		381,497	FITZGERALD ET	FITZGERALD ET AL.	
		miner	Art Unit		
	ME	ERA NATARAJAN	1643		
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	FHE MAILING DATE (rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nis communication. rimum statutory period will apply for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date or	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a re y and will expire SIX (6) MONT the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the company of		
Status					
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in corclosed in accordance with the 	2b)☐ This actio	on is non-final. xcept for formal matte	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 57 is/are pending in a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 57 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	_ is/are withdrawn fro				
	– .				
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje	is/are: a) ☐ accepted by objection to the drawing cluding the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyand required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the Inte	e of: riority documents hav riority documents hav opies of the priority do ernational Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in Ap ocuments have been i T Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	l Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) yMail Date formal Patent Application _·		

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/12/2008 is acknowledged and entered into the record.

2. Accordingly, claims 1-56 and 58-72 have been cancelled and claim 57 is pending and will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The rejection of Claim 57 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghetie et al. (Cancer Res. 1991) in view of Shen et al. (Int. J. Cancer 1998), Reiter et al. (Biochemistry 1994), and Kuan et al. (Biochemistry 1996) of record in the office action mailed 11/02/2000 is maintained.
- 5. Applicants argue that there is no teaching or suggestions in the references cited that treatment of patients with the disulfide stabilized RFB4 antibody conjugated to PE38 immunotoxin would lead to "complete remissions". Applicants have submitted a declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 by Dr. Robert Kreitman disclosing the effects of treatment that resulted in a high rate of patients having complete remission. The arguments and declaration have been carefully considered but not found persuasive.

Art Unit: 1643

6. The claim is drawn to "a method for *inhibiting the growth* of a human hairy-cell leukemia cell...", it is not drawn to a method for "complete remission" and therefore this limitation is not required to be taught in the prior art references. The references cited provide adequate teachings for "inhibiting the growth" of a human hairy-cell leukemia cell. As stated in the original rejection (office action mailed 11/02/2000, p.16-19), Ghetie et al. teach the RFB4 anti-CD22 antibody conjugated to an immunotoxin can inhibit the growth of B-cell lymphomas in mice. Reiter et al. provide motivation for conjugating the antibody taught by Ghetie et al. to the immunotoxin PE38, Kuan et al. provide motivation for producing a disulfide stabilized anti-CD22 antibody conjugate. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to and had a reasonable expectation of success to have used the disulfide stabilized RFB4 anti-CD22 antibody conjugated to PE38 in the method taught by Ghetie et al. to *inhibit the growth* of malignant B-cells. The rejection is therefore maintained for the reasons of record.

Conclusion

- 7. Claim 57 is rejected.
- 8. No Claim is allowed.
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1643

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEERA NATARAJAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30AM-7:00PM, ALT. Friday. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MN

/Larry R. Helms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1643